

Integration of Longitudinal Data in Agent-Based Travel Demand Models

PD Dr. Martin Kagerbauer, KIT-Institute for Transport Studies 3rd Symposium on Activity-Based Modeling, Raitenhaslach, Germany, 11.-13. Dec. 2024



www.ifv.kit.edu

Agent- and activity-based travel demand model

Used in several projects since 2006, many publications

Two separate modules:

Long-term module

Population synthesis, activity plan generation, mobility tool ownership assignment, fixed destination choice

Short-term module

Based on long-term module, simultaneous simulation of all agents from Monday to Sunday

More information and publications: mobility.kit.edu/english/

Features (selection):







Destination choice model



Estimated values for parameters of the binary logit model for the choice of a new destination for trip purpose "shopping for everyday needs" during the course of one week

Analyses of Maximum-Likelihood-Estimation								
Parameter		DF	Estimat Standard 95% Confidence error limits		onfidence nits	Chi- Square	Pr > Chi Sq	
Intercept		1	-1.5931	0.0855	-1.7606	-1.4256	347.41	<.0001
Tripno	2	1	1.6735	0.0824	1.5121	1.8350	412.74	<.0001
Tripno	3	1	0.9400	0.0853	0.7729	1.1071	121.59	<.0001
Tripno	4	1	0.6220	0.0922	0.4413	0.8027	45.51	<.0001
Tripno	5	1	0.4107	0.1056	0.2038	0.6176	15.14	<.0001
Tripno	6 and more	0	0.0000					
Origin	Other	1	0.3201	0.0416	0.2385	0.4016	59.21	<.0001
Origin	Home/Work/ Education	0	0.0000					
Community	City of Stuttgart	1	0.8287	0.0475	0.7356	0.9217	304.83	<.0001
Community	Major/medium regional center	1	0.2220	0.0507	0.1226	0.3215	19.14	<.0001
Community	Other community	0	0.0000					

Source: Survey of Stuttgart Region, 2009

Mode choice model



Conditional logit-model for mode choice of the mode used for the first trip (left) and the second and consecutive trips the of the week starting on Monday

Conditional logit-model for mode choice of the mode used for the first trip of the week (on Monday) starting from home Type-3-effect analysis				Conditional logit-model for mode choices of the second and consecutive trips starting Mon-day Type-3-effect analysis			
Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	Effect	DF	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
mode	4	28.6370	<.0001	mode	4	1390.9763	<.0001
Travel time	1	33.2577	<.0001	Travel time	1	1047.4362	<.0001
Travel costs per km	1	137.7236	<.0001	Travel costs per km	1	2595.8491	<.0001
mode*caravail	8	561.7754	<.000	mode*modelast	16	81515.6516	> <.0001
mode*transpas	4	1139.9950	<.0001	mode*caravail	8	2543.7086	<.0001
mode*purpose	28	732.6141	<.0001	mode*transpas	4	4028.9460	<.0001
mode*wdaytyp	8	4.0098	0.8562	mode*purpose	32	12692.3094	<.0001
mode*hhtyp	12	130.8115	<.0001	mode*wdaytyp	8	815.9866	<.0001
mode*pgroup	28	169.1050	<.0001	mode*hhtyp	12	596.0980	<.0001
mode*parkpress	4	416.0658	<.0001	mode*pgroup	28	874.4959	<.0001
mode*dist	4	288.2756	<.0001	mode*parkpress	4	47 05.7832	<.0001
mode*comkm	4	22.1580	0.0002	mode*dist	4	3618.5895	<.0001
mode*shorttrip	4	477.9181	<.0001	mode*comkm	4	118.5816	<.0001
				mode*shorttrip	4	9274.1202	<.0001

Source: Survey of Stuttgart Region, 2009

Motivation to update mobiTopp

- Stabile and variable behavior patterns in destination choice
 - Repeated trips (temporal and spacial)
 - Routines
- Modelling of these behavior patterns in ABM
 - Influences of changing circumstances or mesures on travel behavior





Modelling individual networks in mobiTopp





Institute for Transport Studies

Modelling individual networks in mobiTopp



Start September 2019	Sampling Pool People living in urb	oan agglomerations in	91 300 Persons Invitation by letter	
Part 1	Initial Survey Socio-demographie	cs, transport behavior	N = 21 800 Invitation to smartphone study	
Part 2 Phase 1 4 weeks	Smartphone-bas Tracking of trips ar	sed RCT (Random. (nd modes	N = 3 656	
Part 2 Phase 2 4 weeks	Control group as in Phase 1	«Information» + Information	«Pricing» + Information + Pricing (N=1 193)	
Part 3	Final Survey Opinions, values, li Stated choice expe	ife styles eriment	N = 3 520 Molloy, Castro, Götschi, Schoeman,	
End January 2020	Incentive: Paid afte	er final survey	I chervenkov, Tomic, Hintermann, Axhausen; The MOBIS dataset: a large GPS dataset of mobility behaviour in Switzerland; DOI 10.1007/s11116-022- 10299-4	

7

Database MOBIS

Institute for Transport Studies

Modelling individual networks

Database for ongoing work









Relationship synthesis

Persons/Agents ...

- ... are part of a houshold
- ... have fixed destinations for work and education
- In have access to car, PT, carsharing etc.
- ... have a specific amount of destinations for the different activities with characteristics (e.g., time)





Destination Choice



Modell framework



Effekts of working from home

Survey Stuttgart Region: Oct. to Dez. 2021:

9.959 Persons/5.477 Households



- > 50% of people are able to work from home
- 20% work from home 1-3 days a week 17% work from home 4-5 days a week
- WFH almost always the whole day

German Mobility Panel MOP:



- since 2012 WFH-Data
- MOP (2021): 3.247 Persons/1.840 Households

People WFH are more mobil (more trips and distances)



Travelled km per person and week 400 Trip purpose Commuting Other 200 -2 3 5

MOP 2021

600

Number of days working from home

Effects of working from home (WFH)



WFH is accompanied by a significant shift in the timing of traffic participation. This leads to a reduction in peak loads.





Change in WFH frequency also changes travel behavior: With more WFH days, other trips increase. This increase exceeds/equalizes the savings effect of the eliminated work trips (rebound). This increase in other journeys is particularly noticeable among people with a shorter distance to work

Thank you!





Institute for Transport Studies